


Grob Fragmentation of 2-Azabicyclo[2.2.2]oct-7-ene: Tool for the Stereoselective Synthesis of Polysubstituted Piperidines

G rald Lemonnier and Andr  B. Charette*

Centre in Green Chemistry and Catalysis, Department of Chemistry, Universit  de Montr al, P.O. Box 6128, Station Downtown, Montr al QC H3C 3J7, Canada

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The Grob fragmentation of azabicyclo[2.2.2]octene leads to a dihydropyridinium intermediate. This highly reactive species reacts with a variety of organocuprates and other soft nucleophiles in a regioselective manner, allowing for the rapid and stereoselective synthesis of 2,3,4-trisubstituted 1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyridines. The resulting products were either reduced in situ to the corresponding piperidine or used to achieve the stereoselective construction of various nitrogen heterocycles.



Piperidine heterocycles are found in a wide variety of biologically active natural products¹ and are often key pharmacophores in drug design.² Consequently, significant efforts have been devoted to their efficient synthesis.³ However, the emergence of new stereoselective methods for the preparation of polysubstituted piperidines is still required because of the numerous possible substitution patterns inherent to the complexity of such a ring.⁴


In particular, only a few examples of stereoselective synthesis of 2,3,4-trisubstituted piperidines have been published. The piperidine ring can be formed either through aza-Diels–Alder reactions,⁵ intramolecular reductive aminations,⁶ intramolecular allene hydroaminations,⁷ or intramolecular aza-Michael additions.⁸ All of these methods are multistep syntheses and often lack generality.

Our group has developed several stereoselective methodologies to access various substituted piperidines and related heterocycles.⁹ Recently, we disclosed the efficient formation of the dihydropyridinium intermediate **A** from the azabicyclo[2.2.2]octene **1**¹⁰ via a Grob fragmentation induced by triflic anhydride (Scheme 1).^{9b} A subsequent Grignard addition to **A** leads to the 2,3,6-trisubstituted tetrahydropyridine.

Herein, we report the 1,4-addition of soft nucleophiles to the dihydropyridinium **A**, thus providing access to 2,3,4-trisubstituted piperidines.

Initially, we investigated the addition of organocuprates to the dihydropyridinium intermediate **A**. In this particular system, 1,4-addition of a nucleophile leads to a 1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyridine. Although the addition proceeded smoothly under our reaction conditions, several side reactions occurring during workup and purification procedures were observed, mainly due to the propensity of the enamine product to dimerize. To avoid

Scheme 1. Tf₂O-Induced Grob Fragmentation



these undesired reactions, the tetrahydropyridine was reduced in situ into the corresponding piperidine.


After extensive optimization, we found that the optimal cuprate was dependent upon the nature of the desired group at the 4-position using either Gillman's reagent (R_2CuX) (method A) or a mixed cyanocuprate ($RCu(CN)X$) in the presence of TMEDA as ligand (method B).¹¹ As depicted in Table 1, piperidines **2a–p** were obtained from the aza-bicyclo[2.2.2]octene **1** through a one-pot fragmentation/cuprate addition/reduction process with good to excellent yields and excellent diastereoselectivity. Alkyl cuprates (entries 1–12), as well as aryl cuprates (entries 13–16), were successfully added. Interestingly, sterically demanding cuprates, such as *tert*-butyl

Received: April 25, 2012

Published: June 7, 2012



Table 1. Cuprate Addition and Subsequent Tetrahydropyridine Reduction




entry	R	X	product	method ^a	yield ^b (%)
1	Me	Li	2a	A	84
2	<i>i</i> -Pr	MgCl	2b	B	87
3	<i>n</i> -Bu	MgCl	2c	B	83
4	<i>i</i> -Bu	MgCl	2d	B	78
5	<i>t</i> -Bu	MgCl	2e	B	75
6	PhCH ₂ CH ₂	MgCl	2f	B	77
7	3-butenyl	MgCl	2g	B	84
8	Bn	MgCl	2h	B	64
9	TMSCH ₂	MgCl	2i	A	89
10	vinyl	MgBr	2j	A	51
11	Cp	MgCl	2k	B	42
12	Cy	MgCl	2l	B	69
13	Ph	MgBr	2m	B	84
14	<i>p</i> -Cl-Ph	MgBr	2n	B	93
15	<i>m</i> -(TMS) ₂ N-Ph	MgCl	2o	B	64
16	mesityl	MgBr	2p	B	86

^aPreparation of the organocuprate reagent. Method A: from RMgX or RLi (4.8 equiv) and CuI (2.4 equiv), addition at rt. Method B: from RMgX (2.2 equiv), CuCN (2.5 equiv), and TMEDA (2.5 equiv), addition at -78°C . See the Supporting Information for details. ^bAll piperidines were isolated as single diastereoisomers.

cuprate (entry 5) or mesityl cuprate (entry 16), were also found to be suitable nucleophiles in this process.


In addition to being highly stereoselective and efficient for a one-pot, three-step sequence, this methodology allows for considerable synthetic flexibility in order to vary the substitution pattern on the piperidine ring at the 2-¹² and 4-positions by the selection of either a Grignard or an organocuprate reagent, respectively. Moreover, the compatibility of vinyl and homoallyl cuprates as nucleophiles ensures potential functionalization at the 3-position. For example, piperidines bearing unsaturation in the 4-position (2g and 2j) were used in a RCM reaction using first-generation Grubbs catalyst, giving access to the bicycles 3 and 4 in 74% and 78% yield, respectively (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2. Derivatization Using the RCM Reaction



Another relevant example illustrating the synthetic utility of this methodology is depicted in Scheme 3. Starting from the known enantioenriched azabicyclo[2.2.2]octene 5 (95% ee),^{9b} we achieved the preparation of the chiral non-natural indolizidine 8 through a three-step sequence. Applying our method to 5 using *n*-Bu₂CuLi furnished 6 in 67% yield. The latter was hydrogenated to give the free piperidine 7 that was


Scheme 3. Synthesis of 7,8-Disubstituted Indolizidine



converted into the corresponding indolizidine 8 via a chlorination/cyclization procedure.¹³

In order to take advantage of the reactivity of the 1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyridine formed in situ after the addition of the organocuprate on the dihydropyridinium intermediate A, we applied Knochel's procedure to perform a copper-catalyzed alkyne coupling.¹⁴ Using phenylacetylene in the presence of 5 mol % of CuBr, we obtained the 2,3,4,6-tetrasubstituted piperidine 9 in 74% yield and 3:1 dr (Scheme 4). The diastereoisomers were separated, and the relative configuration of the piperidine substituents was determined by NOE experiments.¹⁵


Scheme 4. One-Pot Copper-Catalyzed Enamine Coupling



We next examined the reactivity of the dihydropyridinium A toward other soft nucleophiles (Table 2). Friedel–Crafts addition was found to occur with *N*-methylindole as nucleophile (entry 1), although the reaction was very slow (72 h for total conversion) and poorly diastereoselective. The sodium salt of dimethyl malonate was added to the 4-position with excellent diastereoselectivity and good yield (entry 2). Interestingly, heteroatom nucleophiles, such as thiophenol (entry 3) and sodium phthalimide (entry 4), were found to be compatible, although moderate diastereoselectivities were observed.









We envisioned that dihydropyridinium A could also act as a reactive dienophile in Diels–Alder reactions using siloxy dienes. Treatment of 1 with 4-phenyl-2-trimethylsilyloxybutadiene led to octahydroisoquinolinone 11 with good overall yield after the sequential reduction with NaBH₃CN and treatment with TFA (Scheme 5). Noteworthy, three stereocenters were installed in one step with high diastereocontrol. The relative configuration was determined by NOE and indicates a stepwise mechanism for the formation of the cyclohexane ring. The silylenol ether

Table 2. Diastereoselective 1,4-Addition of Other Soft Nucleophiles




Reaction scheme showing the conversion of compound **1** to product **10a-d** under the following conditions:

- Ti_2O_3 , Et_3N , CH_2Cl_2 , rt, 10 min
- "Nu"
- NaBH_3X , MeOH


entry	Nu	R	dr ^a	yield (%) ^b	
1 ^c			10a	2:1	88
2 ^c			10b	> 19:1	69
3 ^c			10c	4:1	84
4 ^d			10d	5:1	57

^aDetermined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude mixture. ^bOverall yield. ^cX = H. ^dX = CN.

Scheme 5. One-Step Synthesis of Octahydroisoquinolinone

undergoes 1,4-addition, and the resulting enamine then cyclizes through an intramolecular Michael addition.

In order to rationalize the high level of diastereoselectivity observed in the 1,4-addition, we identified the preferred conformation of the dihydropyridinium intermediate **A** by ¹H NMR (Figure 1A). The ¹H NMR spectrum indicates that no coupling is observed between H² and H³, thus suggesting a dihedral angle $\Phi(\text{H}^2\text{--C--C--H}^3)$ close to 90°. Based on this

**Figure 1.** Origin of the Diastereoselectivity.

information and on NOE correlations, we can assume that the alkyl groups are in an axial position in the more stable conformation of the dihydropyridinium. This preferred conformation results from the minimization of both the A-1,2 strain and the gauche interactions that destabilize the other conformer (Figure 1B). The approach of the nucleophiles toward the dihydropyridinium is favored from the opposite face of the allylic group.

In summary, we have developed an efficient and versatile methodology for the stereoselective construction of 2,3,4-trisubstituted 1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyridine core. These compounds can be readily reduced in situ to the corresponding piperidines or used as intermediates for further transformations.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Grob Fragmentation Procedure. To **1** (1 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.1 N) was slowly added Tf₂O (1.1 equiv). The reaction was stirred at rt for 5 min, and Et₃N (1.2 equiv) was added. This intermediate was directly used as a solution.

General Procedure for Organocuprate Addition. Method A. CuI (183 mg, 0.96 mmol) in Et₂O (3.5 mL) was cooled to −78 °C, and the organolithium or organomagnesium (1.92 mmol) was added. The mixture was warmed to rt over 1 h. The dihydropyridinium **A** (from **1**: 97 mg, 0.4 mmol) was added dropwise to the solution of cuprate. The reaction was stirred for 20 min at rt. MeOH (5 mL) and NaBH₄ (76 mg, 2.0 mmol) were sequentially added. After 30 min, the mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃. The resulting heterogeneous mixture was filtered over Celite, concentrated and purified by chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes/Et₃N 10/89/1) to give **2**.

Method B. CuCN (45 mg, 0.5 mmol) and TMEDA (75 μL, 0.5 mmol) in Et₂O (1 mL) was cooled to −78 °C. The organomagnesium (0.44 mmol) was then added. The mixture was warmed to rt over 1 h and cooled to −78 °C. **A** (from **1**: 49 mg, 0.2 mmol) was added, and the reaction was warmed to rt over 1 h. MeOH (5 mL) and NaBH₄ (38 mg, 1.0 mmol) were sequentially added. After 30 min, NaOH 2.0 N (0.5 mL) was added, and the mixture was filtered over Celite. Organic solvents were evaporated. DCM and water were added, products were extracted twice with DCM and dried over MgSO₄, solvents were evaporated, and the crude residue was purified by chromatography using Et₃N-treated silica gel (1–10% EtOAc in hexanes) to give **2**.

rel-(2R,3S,4R)-3-Allyl-1-benzyl-2,4-dimethylpiperidine (2a): 82 mg, 84% yield; gum; ¹H NMR δ 7.34–7.27 (m, 4H), 7.25–7.20 (m, 1H), 5.81 (ddt, J = 17.4, 10.2, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.09–4.98 (m, 2H), 4.06 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 3.18 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 2.76 (dt, J = 11.7, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 2.36–2.23 (m, 2H), 2.18 (dq, J = 10.5, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 1.99 (dt, J = 2.8, 12.9 Hz, 1H), 1.52–1.45 (m, 1H), 1.39–1.21 (m, 2H), 1.26 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 3H), 1.10 (tt, J = 9.8, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 0.95 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR δ 139.9, 136.0, 129.2, 128.2, 126.7, 116.1, 59.6, 58.0, 52.5, 48.2, 33.9, 33.8 (2 × C), 20.4, 18.1; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for [C₁₇H₂₆N + H]⁺ 244.2060, found 244.2063.

rel-(2R,3S,4R)-3-Allyl-1-benzyl-4-isopropyl-2-methylpiperidine (2b): 47 mg, 87% yield; gum; ¹H NMR δ 7.37–7.27 (m, 4H), 7.27–7.20 (m, 1H), 5.80 (ddt, J = 17.3, 10.2, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 5.09–4.98 (m, 2H), 4.05 (d, J = 13.7 Hz, 1H), 3.24 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 2.85 (dt, J = 11.5, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 2.36–2.15 (m, 3H), 2.08–1.93 (m, 2H), 1.48–1.15 (m, 4H), 1.29 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H), 0.88 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 0.77 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR δ 139.6, 136.0, 129.3, 128.2, 126.8, 116.2, 59.8, 57.9, 52.6, 43.9, 43.3, 33.0, 26.6, 23.0, 21.5, 18.2, 15.6; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for [C₁₉H₃₀N + H]⁺ 272.2373, found 272.2379.

rel-(2R,3S,4R)-3-Allyl-1-benzyl-4-butyl-2-methylpiperidine (2c): 47 mg, 83% yield; gum; ¹H NMR δ 7.35–7.28 (m, 4H), 7.27–7.20 (m, 1H), 5.81 (ddt, J = 17.3, 10.2, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.09–4.98 (m, 2H), 4.04 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 3.23 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 2.79 (dt, J = 12.0, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 2.37–2.14 (m, 3H), 1.98 (dt, J = 11.5, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 1.64–1.52 (m, 2H), 1.39–1.06 (m, 8H), 1.26 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 0.89 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR δ 139.9, 136.4, 129.2, 128.2, 126.7, 116.0, 59.7,

58.0, 52.1, 45.9, 38.4, 34.0, 33.2, 30.0, 28.5, 23.2, 18.0, 14.3; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $[C_{20}H_{32}N + H]^+$ 286.2529, found 286.2532.

rel-(2R,3S,4R)-3-Allyl-1-benzyl-4-isobutyl-2-methylpiperidine (2d): 44 mg, 78% yield; gum; 1H NMR δ 7.35–7.28 (m, 4H), 7.26–7.21 (m, 1H), 5.80 (ddt, J = 17.3, 10.4, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.09–4.98 (m, 2H), 4.04 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 3.26 (d, J = 13.4 Hz, 1H), 2.80 (dt, J = 11.6, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 2.38–2.29 (m, 1H), 2.29–2.18 (m, 2H), 2.00 (dt, J = 11.8, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 1.71–1.59 (m, 2H), 1.40 (ddd, J = 13.4, 10.3, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 1.35–1.23 (m, 1H), 1.27 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 1.23–1.08 (m, 2H), 0.98 (ddd, J = 13.6, 10.1, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 0.90 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.81 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR δ 139.5, 136.3, 129.2, 128.2, 126.8, 116.1, 59.7, 57.8, 51.8, 46.6, 43.4, 36.2, 34.0, 30.0, 24.9, 24.5, 21.4, 18.0; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $[C_{20}H_{32}N + H]^+$ 286.2529, found 286.2532.

rel-(2R,3S,4R)-3-Allyl-1-benzyl-4-tert-butyl-2-methylpiperidine (2e): 43 mg, 75% yield; gum; 1H NMR δ 7.37–7.28 (m, 4H), 7.26–7.20 (m, 1H), 5.75 (ddt, J = 17.1, 10.2, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 4.96–4.90 (m, 1H), 4.87–4.79 (m, 1H), 3.78 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 3.46 (d, J = 13.2 Hz, 1H), 2.68 (ddd, J = 10.8, 6.5, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 2.55–2.43 (m, 2H), 2.40–2.30 (m, 1H), 2.23–2.14 (m, 1H), 1.68–1.59 (m, 1H), 1.59–1.46 (m, 1H), 1.39–1.30 (m, 1H), 1.19 (ddd, J = 12.6, 7.4, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 1.10 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.93 (m, 9H); ^{13}C NMR δ 140.2, 137.8, 129.1, 128.2, 126.8, 115.9, 59.6, 56.3, 49.5, 46.9, 42.5, 40.0, 33.8, 28.7, 23.8, 17.7; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $[C_{20}H_{32}N + H]^+$ 286.2529, found 286.2525.

rel-(2R,3S,4R)-3-Allyl-1-benzyl-2-methyl-4-phenethylpiperidine (2f): 51 mg, 77% yield; gum; 1H NMR δ 7.37–7.21 (m, 7H), 7.21–7.14 (m, 3H), 5.92 (ddt, J = 17.1, 10.0, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 5.07–4.96 (m, 2H), 4.05 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 3.22 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 2.87–2.78 (m, 1H), 2.70 (ddd, J = 13.7, 11.1, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.48 (ddd, J = 13.6, 10.5, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 2.38–2.15 (m, 3H), 2.06–1.87 (m, 2H), 1.78–1.68 (m, 1H), 1.52–1.40 (m, 1H), 1.40–1.21 (m, 3H), 1.27 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR δ 143.0, 139.6 (2 x C), 136.1, 129.2, 128.4, 128.2, 126.8, 125.8, 116.2, 59.7, 57.9, 51.9, 45.7, 38.1, 35.6, 33.9, 32.7, 29.9, 17.9; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $[C_{24}H_{32}N + H]^+$ 334.2529, found 334.2535.

rel-(2R,3S,4R)-3-Allyl-1-benzyl-4-(but-3-enyl)-2-methylpiperidine (2g): 48 mg, 84% yield; gum; 1H NMR δ 7.37–7.27 (m, 4H), 7.27–7.20 (m, 1H), 5.89–5.72 (m, 2H), 5.09–4.90 (m, 4H), 4.04 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 3.22 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 2.84–2.76 (m, 1H), 2.38–2.07 (m, 4H), 2.04–1.89 (m, 2H), 1.75–1.58 (m, 2H), 1.36–1.14 (m, 4H), 1.26 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR δ 139.8, 139.3, 136.3, 129.2, 128.2, 126.8, 116.1, 114.4, 59.7, 58.0, 52.0, 45.9, 38.0, 34.0, 32.8, 30.6, 29.8, 18.0; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $[C_{20}H_{30}N + H]^+$ 284.2373, found 284.2374.

rel-(2R,3S,4R)-3-Allyl-1,4-dibenzyl-2-methylpiperidine (2h): 41 mg, 64% yield; gum; 1H NMR δ 7.34–7.22 (m, 7H), 7.22–7.16 (m, 1H), 7.16–7.11 (m, 2H), 5.92 (ddt, J = 17.3, 10.1, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.20–5.10 (m, 2H), 4.06 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 3.26 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 3.16 (dd, J = 13.1, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 2.75 (dt, J = 11.8, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 2.57–2.48 (m, 1H), 2.47–2.39 (m, 1H), 2.29 (ddd, J = 12.1, 9.4, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 2.17 (dd, J = 13.2, 10.3 Hz, 1H), 1.92 (dt, J = 2.6, 13.6 Hz, 1H), 1.55 (ddt, J = 21.9, 10.9, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 1.43–1.31 (m, 2H), 1.34 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H), 1.27–1.15 (m, 1H); ^{13}C NMR δ 141.1, 139.5, 136.0, 129.3, 129.2, 128.3, 128.2, 126.8, 125.8, 116.5, 59.6, 57.8, 51.9, 46.2, 40.8, 40.4, 34.1, 29.8, 18.1; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $[C_{23}H_{30}N + H]^+$ 320.2373, found 320.2380.

rel-(2R,3S,4R)-3-Allyl-1-benzyl-2-methyl-4-((trimethylsilyl)methyl)piperidine (2i): 56 mg, 89% yield; gum; 1H NMR δ 7.38–7.30 (m, 4H), 7.30–7.22 (m, 1H), 5.82 (ddt, J = 17.3, 10.2, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.12–4.99 (m, 2H), 4.07 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 3.23 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 2.78 (dt, J = 11.6, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 2.43–2.33 (m, 1H), 2.31–2.16 (m, 2H), 2.01 (dt, J = 2.5, 13.5 Hz, 1H), 1.71–1.62 (m, 1H), 1.44–1.33 (m, 1H), 1.33–1.11 (m, 2H), 1.30 (d, J = 5.9 Hz, 3H), 0.98 (dd, J = 14.9, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 0.32 (ddt, J = 14.8, 10.5 Hz, 1H), 0.03 (s, 9H); ^{13}C NMR δ 139.7, 136.5, 129.2, 128.2, 126.8, 115.9, 60.0, 58.0, 52.2, 49.0, 35.7, 34.2, 33.6, 21.9, 18.2, –0.3; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $[C_{20}H_{34}NSi + H]^+$ 316.2455, found 316.2461.

rel-(2R,3S,4R)-3-Allyl-1-benzyl-2-methyl-4-vinylpiperidine (2j): 52 mg, 51% yield; gum; 1H NMR δ 7.36–7.27 (m, 4H), 7.27–7.20 (m,

1H), 5.79 (ddt, J = 17.5, 10.3, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 5.63 (ddd, J = 19.2, 10.2, 9.0 Hz, 1H), 5.07–4.96 (m, 4H), 4.08 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 3.18 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 2.79 (dt, J = 11.8, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 2.31–2.25 (m, 2H), 2.25–2.15 (m, 1H), 2.05–1.93 (m, 2H), 1.53–1.41 (m, 2H), 1.35–1.22 (m, 1H), 1.27 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR δ 143.2, 139.8, 135.5, 129.2, 128.3, 126.8, 116.5, 114.7, 59.3, 57.9, 51.9, 45.4, 44.7, 34.1, 31.9, 17.8; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $[C_{18}H_{26}N + H]^+$ 256.2060, found 256.2066.

rel-(2R,3S,4S)-3-Allyl-1-benzyl-4-cyclopentyl-2-methylpiperidine (2k): 25 mg, 42% yield; gum; 1H NMR δ 7.35–7.27 (m, 4H), 7.25–7.20 (m, 1H), 5.80 (ddt, J = 17.2, 10.2, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 5.07–4.97 (m, 2H), 3.95 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 3.28 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 2.80 (ddd, J = 11.7, 4.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 2.42–2.33 (m, 1H), 2.33–2.14 (m, 3H), 2.07–1.99 (m, 1H), 1.63–1.44 (m, 7H), 1.42–1.12 (m, 5H), 1.24 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR δ 139.9, 136.8, 129.1, 128.2, 126.8, 116.0, 59.1, 58.2, 50.7, 44.5, 41.1, 40.5, 30.2, 27.0, 25.8, 25.7, 24.5, 17.2; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $[C_{21}H_{32}N + H]^+$ 298.2529, found 298.2528.

rel-(2R,3S,4S)-3-Allyl-1-benzyl-4-cyclohexyl-2-methylpiperidine (2l): 43 mg, 69% yield; gum; 1H NMR δ 7.35–7.27 (m, 4H), 7.27–7.19 (m, 1H), 5.80 (ddt, J = 17.0, 10.1, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 5.10–4.99 (m, 2H), 4.05 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 3.23 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 2.83 (dt, J = 11.6, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 2.36–2.14 (m, 3H), 1.98 (dt, J = 11.8, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 1.80–1.71 (m, 2H), 1.71–1.54 (m, 3H), 1.51–1.04 (m, 9H), 1.29 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 3H), 1.01–0.87 (m, 1H); ^{13}C NMR δ 139.6, 136.2, 129.2, 128.2, 126.7, 116.0, 59.9, 58.0, 52.8, 44.1, 42.6, 38.0, 34.6, 33.3, 33.1, 27.5, 27.1, 26.5, 24.9, 18.2; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $[C_{22}H_{34}N + H]^+$ 312.2686, found 312.2692.

rel-(2R,3S,4R)-3-Allyl-1-benzyl-2-methyl-4-phenylpiperidine (2m): 51 mg, 84% yield; gum; 1H NMR δ 7.40–7.16 (m, 10H), 5.75 (ddt, J = 17.5, 10.4, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 4.99–4.93 (m, 1H), 4.90–4.82 (m, 1H), 4.16 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 3.28 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 2.89 (dt, J = 11.6, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 2.49 (dt, J = 11.7, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 2.33 (dq, J = 10.0, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 2.21–2.11 (m, 1H), 2.13 (dt, J = 2.7, 11.9 Hz, 1H), 1.95–1.85 (m, 1H), 1.83–1.71 (m, 2H), 1.70–1.63 (m, 1H), 1.35 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR δ 145.8, 139.7, 135.2, 129.2, 128.6, 128.3, 127.9, 126.8, 126.2, 116.6, 60.0, 57.8, 53.0, 47.0, 46.3, 34.3, 33.9, 17.9; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $[C_{22}H_{28}N + H]^+$ 306.2216, found 306.2218.

rel-(2R,3S,4R)-3-Allyl-1-benzyl-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-methylpiperidine (2n): 63 mg, 93% yield; gum; 1H NMR δ 7.41–7.31 (m, 4H), 7.31–7.23 (m, 3H), 7.18–7.10 (m, 2H), 5.73 (ddt, J = 17.3, 10.3, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 5.02–4.94 (m, 1H), 4.91–4.82 (m, 1H), 4.16 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 3.27 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 2.88 (dt, J = 11.7, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 2.48 (dt, J = 11.7, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 2.38–2.28 (m, 1H), 2.22–2.06 (m, 2H), 1.94–1.83 (m, 1H), 1.79–1.59 (m, 3H), 1.35 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR δ 144.3, 139.6, 134.9, 131.8, 129.2, 129.2, 128.7, 128.3, 126.9, 116.9, 59.9, 57.8, 52.8, 46.4, 46.2, 34.3, 33.9, 17.9; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $[C_{22}H_{27}ClN + H]^+$ 340.1827, found 340.1834.

rel-3-(2R,3S,4R)-3-Allyl-1-benzyl-2-methylpiperidin-4-yl)-N,N-bis(trimethylsilyl)aniline (2o): 45 mg, 64% yield; gum; 1H NMR δ 7.38–7.30 (m, 4H), 7.28–7.22 (m, 1H), 7.11 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.90–6.87 (m, 1H), 6.75–6.70 (m, 2H), 5.75 (ddt, J = 17.4, 10.3, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.99–4.93 (m, 1H), 4.92–4.84 (m, 1H), 4.16 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 3.28 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 2.88 (dt, J = 11.8, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 2.41 (dt, J = 11.8, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 2.30 (dq, J = 10.0, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 2.23–2.05 (m, 2H), 1.97–1.88 (m, 1H), 1.80–1.67 (m, 2H), 1.66–1.60 (m, 1H), 1.35 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H), 0.04 (s, 18H); ^{13}C NMR δ 148.1, 146.1, 139.5, 135.3, 129.6, 129.3, 128.4, 128.3, 128.1, 126.9, 123.4, 116.6, 60.0, 58.0, 53.0, 46.8, 46.4, 34.4, 33.8, 17.9, 2.2; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $[C_{22}H_{29}N_2 - 2SiMe_3 + 3H]^+$ 321.2325, found 321.2328.

rel-(2R,3S,4R)-3-Allyl-1-benzyl-4-mesityl-2-methylpiperidine (2p): 58 mg, 83% yield; gum; 1H NMR δ 7.40–7.31 (m, 4H), 7.29–7.23 (m, 1H), 6.82 (s, 1H), 6.79 (s, 1H), 5.70 (ddt, J = 17.2, 10.0, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 4.95–4.89 (m, 1H), 4.80–4.72 (m, 1H), 4.12 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 3.35 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 2.98–2.86 (m, 2H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 2.38–2.15 (m, 5H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 2.06 (dq, J = 12.6, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 1.98–1.88 (m, 1H), 1.50–1.42 (m, 1H), 1.36 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR δ 139.8, 137.7, 137.0, 136.1, 135.7, 135.1, 131.3, 129.6, 129.2, 128.3, 126.8, 116.5, 61.3, 57.3, 53.6, 43.5, 43.3, 34.4, 29.4, 22.2, 21.8, 20.8, 17.9; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $[C_{25}H_{34}N + H]^+$ 348.2686, found 348.2691.

General Procedure for the Metathesis. To **2g** (28 mg, 0.1 mmol) or **2j** (13 mg, 0.05 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (0.03 N) was added 5 mol % of benzylidenebis(tricyclohexylphosphine)dichlororuthenium (Grubbs I). The mixture was stirred at rt until completion (TLC and MS monitoring). Concentration and purification by chromatography (20% EtOAc in hexanes) gave the desired products as a colorless oil.

rel-(1R,4aS,7aS)-2-Benzyl-1-methyl-2,3,4,4a,7,7a-hexahydro-1H-cyclopenta[c]pyridine (3): 8 mg, 74% yield; gum; ^1H NMR δ 7.35–7.28 (m, 4H), 7.27–7.19 (m, 1H), 5.84–5.75 (m, 2H), 4.10 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 3.27 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 2.94–2.86 (m, 1H), 2.42–2.25 (m, 2H), 2.12 (dt, J = 11.9, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 2.08–1.99 (m, 1H), 1.96–1.87 (m, 1H), 1.82 (ddd, J = 12.1, 5.4, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 1.56 (ddd, J = 21.4, 11.1, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 1.41 (dq, J = 12.1, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 1.25 (d, J = 5.9 Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR δ 139.7, 135.8, 131.3, 129.3, 128.2, 126.8, 60.4, 57.7, 54.8, 53.8, 49.5, 35.0, 30.1, 19.1; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $[\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{22}\text{N} + \text{H}]^+$ 228.1747, found 228.1750.

rel-(1R,4aR,9aS,Z)-2-Benzyl-1-methyl-2,3,4,4a,5,6,9,9a-octahydro-1H-cyclohepta[c]pyridine (4): 20 mg, 78% yield; gum; ^1H NMR δ 7.35–7.27 (m, 4H), 7.27–7.19 (m, 1H), 5.92–5.80 (m, 2H), 4.05 (d, J = 13.7 Hz, 1H), 3.22 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 2.83–2.74 (m, 1H), 2.26–2.17 (m, 1H), 2.17–2.11 (m, 2H), 2.11–2.02 (m, 1H), 2.00–1.82 (m, 2H), 1.68–1.59 (m, 1H), 1.42–1.24 (m, 3H), 1.32 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 3H), 1.19–1.07 (m, 1H), 1.06–0.96 (m, 1H); ^{13}C NMR δ 139.6, 133.3, 131.5, 129.3, 128.2, 126.8, 60.4, 58.2, 52.6, 47.5, 47.2, 35.1, 33.8, 32.4, 27.2, 19.5; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $[\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{26}\text{N} + \text{H}]^+$ 256.2060, found 256.2063.

(2S,3R,4S)-3-Allyl-1-benzyl-2-(3-(benzyloxy)propyl)-4-butylpiperidine (6): Method A using **5** (128 mg, 0.34 mmol) and *n*-BuLi (1.63 mmol) gave **6** (96 mg, 67%); gum; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +36.3$ (c 0.67, CH_2Cl_2); ^1H NMR δ 7.38–7.18 (m, 10H), 5.81 (ddt, J = 17.2, 10.1, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.07–4.98 (m, 2H), 4.49 (m, 2H), 4.00 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 3.45 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 3.10 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 2.76 (dt, J = 11.4, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 2.36–2.27 (m, 1H), 2.27–2.18 (m, 2H), 1.96 (dt, J = 11.4, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 1.89–1.64 (m, 4H), 1.61–1.59 (m, 2H), 1.44–1.36 (m, 1H), 1.35–1.07 (m, 7H), 0.89 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR δ 140.4, 138.9, 136.3, 128.8, 128.4, 128.2, 127.6, 127.5, 126.7, 116.2, 72.9, 70.9, 63.4, 56.9, 51.5, 41.5, 38.2, 33.7, 33.3, 29.3, 28.6, 25.4, 24.5, 23.2, 14.3; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $[\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{42}\text{NO} + \text{H}]^+$ 420.3261, found 420.3267.

3-((2S,3R,4S)-4-Butyl-3-propylpiperidin-2-yl)propan-1-ol (7): Compound **6** (47 mg, 0.11 mmol), $\text{Pd}(\text{OH})_2/\text{C}$ (10 mol %), and TFA (1 μL , 0.013 mmol) in EtOH were stirred under H_2 overnight. The mixture was filtered, concentrated, and purified by chromatography (94/1/5 EtOAc/ NH_4OH /MeOH) to give **7** (26 mg, 99%); gum; $[\alpha]_D^{20} +5.8$ (c 0.45, CH_2Cl_2); ^1H NMR δ 3.9 (bs, 2H), 3.64–3.48 (m, 2H), 3.16–3.04 (m, 1H), 2.60 (dt, J = 12.5, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 2.51–2.42 (m, 1H), 1.84–1.69 (m, 3H), 1.64–1.47 (m, 3H), 1.46–1.00 (m, 12H), 0.96–0.79 (m, 6H); ^{13}C NMR δ 62.9, 59.1, 45.9, 44.2, 38.7, 32.8, 32.8, 31.6, 30.8, 29.6, 28.5, 23.2, 18.6, 15.1, 14.2; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $[\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{32}\text{NO} + \text{H}]^+$ 242.2478, found 242.2484.

(7S,8R,8aS)-7-Butyl-8-propyloctahydroindolizine (8): SOCl_2 (15 μL , 0.20 mmol) was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 . Compound **7** (25 mg, 0.10 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 was added over 2 h. After 16 h, Et_3N (43 μL , 0.30 mmol) was added, and solution was stirred for 6 h. Saturated aqueous Na_2CO_3 was added, the aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 , and the combined organic layers were dried over Na_2SO_4 , concentrated and purified by chromatography (1% MeOH in EtOAc) to give **8** (71%, 16 mg); liquid; $[\alpha]_D^{20} +12.5$ (c 0.575, CH_2Cl_2); ^1H NMR δ 3.06–2.9 (m, 2H), 1.96 (q, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 1.90–1.76 (m, 2H), 1.74–1.62 (m, 2H), 1.62–1.48 (m, 2H), 1.48–1.01 (m, 12H), 1.01–0.88 (m, 2H), 0.87–0.66 (m, 6H); ^{13}C NMR δ 68.4, 54.6, 52.8, 45.7, 38.7, 32.4, 31.9, 31.3, 29.5, 28.9, 23.2, 21.0, 18.9, 15.1, 14.3; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $[\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{30}\text{N} + \text{H}]^+$ 224.2373, found 224.2383.

rel-(2R,3S,4R,6S)- and rel-(2R,3S,4R,6R)-3-Allyl-1-benzyl-2,4-dimethyl-6-(phenylethynyl)piperidine (9a,b). To **A** (from **1**: 50 mg, 0.20 mmol) was added Me_2CuLi (CuI (84 mg, 0.44 mmol) and MeI (0.88 mmol)) in Et_2O (2 mL). The reaction was stirred for 20 min at rt and transferred to another flask containing CuBr (1.5 mg, 0.01 mmol) and phenylacetylene (66 μL , 0.60 mmol) in toluene (1 mL). The mixture was stirred 16 h, filtered over Celite, concentrated, and purified by chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes/ Et_3N 1/98/1 elution)

(51 mg, 74% yield, 3:1 dr). Major diastereoisomer only: **rel-(2R,3S,4R,6S)-(9a)**: gum; ^1H NMR δ 7.52–7.46 (m, 2H), 7.45–7.40 (m, 2H), 7.38–7.30 (m, 5H), 7.28–7.22 (m, 1H), 5.90 (ddt, J = 17.3, 10.2, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.14–5.02 (m, 2H), 4.13 (d, J = 13.2 Hz, 1H), 3.71–3.65 (m, 1H), 3.44 (d, J = 13.2 Hz, 1H), 2.81–2.71 (m, 1H), 2.40–2.27 (m, 2H), 2.04–1.90 (m, 1H), 1.75 (dt, J = 12.7, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 1.49 (dt, J = 4.3, 12.7 Hz, 1H), 1.25 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 3H), 1.16–1.03 (m, 1H), 0.98 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR δ 140.1, 136.1, 131.8, 129.2, 128.4, 128.3, 127.9, 126.9, 123.8, 116.1, 88.2, 87.0, 55.5, 55.0, 52.2, 48.6, 38.8, 33.9, 29.3, 20.3, 18.1; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $[\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{30}\text{N} + \text{H}]^+$ 344.2373, found 344.2379.

General Procedure for Nucleophilic Addition. The dihydropyridinium **A** (from **1**: 1 equiv) was added to a solution of the appropriate nucleophile and the mixture stirred at rt until the addition reaction was completed. MeOH (0.02 N) and NaBH_4 (5 equiv) were added, and the mixture was stirred for 30 min. Saturated aqueous NaHCO_3 was added. Extraction with CH_2Cl_2 , drying over Na_2SO_4 , filtration, concentration, and purification by chromatography using triethylamine pretreated silica gel led to the desired compound.

rel-3-((2R,3S,4R)- and rel-3-((2R,3S,4S)-3-Allyl-1-benzyl-2-methylpiperidin-4-yl)-1-methyl-1H-indole (10a-10a'). The general procedure was followed using **1** (25 mg, 0.1 mmol) and *N*-methylindole (128 μL , 1 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 72 h before the reduction step. Products were purified using 0 to 50% EtOAc in hexanes to give **10a** and **10a'** (32 mg, 88% yield, 2:1 dr) as a yellow gum. Major diastereoisomer only, **rel-3-((2R,3S,4R)-10a)**: ^1H NMR δ 7.68 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.42–7.17 (m, 7H), 7.08 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.84 (s, 1H), 5.80 (ddt, J = 17.4, 10.0, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.97–4.90 (m, 1H), 4.89–4.81 (m, 1H), 4.17 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.31 (d, J = 13.4 Hz, 1H), 2.89 (dt, J = 11.7, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 2.78 (dt, J = 3.8, 11.8 Hz, 1H), 2.40–2.31 (m, 1H), 2.25–2.05 (m, 3H), 2.00–1.86 (m, 2H), 1.80–1.72 (m, 1H), 1.35 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR δ 139.7, 137.2, 136.0, 129.3, 128.3, 127.5, 126.9, 126.0, 121.5, 119.7, 119.1, 118.6, 116.3, 109.3, 60.5, 57.8, 53.1, 46.5, 37.8, 34.5, 34.1, 32.8, 18.0; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $[\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{31}\text{N}_2 + \text{H}]^+$ 359.2484, found 359.2508.

rel-Dimethyl 2-((2R,3S,4R)-3-Allyl-1-benzyl-2-methylpiperidin-4-yl)malonate (10b). The general procedure was followed with **1** (97 mg, 0.40 mmol), sodium dimethylmalonate (dimethyl malonate (160 μL , 1.40 mmol), and NaH (48 mg, 1.20 mmol) in THF. The mixture was stirred for 14 h before the reduction step. The products were purified using 0–50% EtOAc in hexanes to give **10b** (99 mg, 69% yield, >19:1 dr); gum; ^1H NMR δ 7.33–7.26 (m, 4H), 7.25–7.19 (m, 1H), 5.78 (ddt, J = 17.2, 10.0, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 5.11–5.02 (m, 2H), 3.93 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.30 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 2.78 (dt, J = 12.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.42–2.28 (m, 3H), 2.15–2.05 (m, 2H), 1.75–1.57 (m, 2H), 1.54–1.45 (m, 1H), 1.24 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR δ 170.0, 169.3, 139.9, 135.3, 129.2, 128.7, 127.3, 117.4, 59.2, 57.4, 53.2, 52.8, 52.5, 51.0, 42.9, 39.5, 34.3, 26.3, 17.9; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $[\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{30}\text{NO}_4 + \text{H}]^+$ 360.2169, found 360.2187.

rel-(2R,3R,4R)- and rel-(2R,3R,4S)-3-Allyl-1-benzyl-2-methyl-4-(phenylthio)piperidine (10c-c'). The general procedure was followed with **1** (97 mg, 0.40 mmol) and benzenethiol (124 μL , 1.20 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 72 h before the reduction step. The products were purified using 0 to 10% EtOAc in hexanes to give the desired products (113 mg, 84% yield, 4:1 dr). Major diastereoisomer only: **rel-(2R,3R,4R)-10c**: gum; ^1H NMR, δ 7.47–7.40 (m, 2H), 7.36–7.21 (m, 8H), 5.86 (ddt, J = 17.3, 10.0, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 5.21–5.07 (m, 2H), 3.96 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 3.30 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 3.05 (dt, J = 10.5, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 2.86–2.75 (m, 2H), 2.56–2.38 (m, 2H), 2.08 (dt, J = 11.7, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 1.95–1.85 (m, 1H), 1.79–1.57 (m, 2H), 1.33 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR δ 139.5, 135.2, 134.9, 132.9, 128.9, 128.9, 128.2, 127.1, 126.8, 117.3, 59.2, 57.2, 50.4, 49.1, 45.3, 34.6, 32.0, 17.3; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $[\text{C}_{22}\text{H}_{28}\text{NS} + \text{H}]^+$ 338.1937, found 338.1942.

rel-2-((2R,3R,4R)-3-Allyl-1-benzyl-2-methylpiperidin-4-yl)-isoindoline-1,3-dione (10d). The general procedure was followed with **1** (49 mg, 0.40 mmol), NaBH_3CN (63 mg, 1.0 mmol) for the reduction step, and sodium phthalimide prepared from phthalimide (89 mg, 0.6 mmol) and NaH (24 mg, 0.6 mmol) in THF. The mixture

was stirred for 14 h before the reduction step. Products were purified using 0–50% EtOAc in hexanes to give **10d** as a mixture of diastereoisomers (85 mg, 57% yield, 5.25:1 dr). Major diastereoisomer only: gum; ^1H NMR δ 7.85–7.81 (m, 2H), 7.74–7.67 (m, 2H), 7.42–7.28 (m, 4H), 7.27–7.21 (m, 1H), 5.82–5.68 (m, 1H), 4.94–4.80 (m, 2H), 4.14–4.03 (m, 1H), 4.08 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 3.33 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 2.89 (dt, J = 11.8, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 2.59–2.42 (m, 2H), 2.42–2.33 (m, 1H), 2.23 (dt, J = 2.4, 12.4 Hz, 1H), 2.19–2.05 (m, 2H), 1.56–1.47 (m, 1H), 1.33 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR δ 168.6, 139.9, 135.1, 134.0, 132.0, 129.0, 128.3, 126.9, 123.3, 116.5, 59.9, 55.9, 52.9, 51.2, 41.5, 33.9, 28.0, 18.1; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $[\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{27}\text{N}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{H}]^+$ 375.2067, found 375.2076.

rel-(3*R*,4*S*,4*aR*,8*R*,8*aS*)-4-Allyl-2-benzyl-3-methyl-8-phenylocta-hydroisoquinolin-6(7*H*)-one (**11**). To a flask cooled at 0 °C and containing 2,6-lutidine (232 μL , 2.0 mmol), TMSOTf (220 μL , 1.2 mmol), and styryl methyl ketone (150 mg, 1.0 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (2 mL) was added the dihydropyridinium **A** (from **1**: 97 mg, 0.40 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred overnight. MeOH (5 mL) and NaBH_3CN (125 mg, 2.0 mmol) were added, and the mixture was stirred for 2 h. TFA (75 μL , 1.0 mmol) was added, the reaction was stirred 30 min, and saturated aqueous Na_2CO_3 was added. The organic layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 , and the combined organic layers were dried over Na_2SO_4 , filtered, concentrated, and purified by chromatography using Et_3N pretreated silica gel (0–60% EtOAc in hexanes) to give **11** (90 mg, 60% yield, 14:1 dr): gum; ^1H NMR δ 7.39–7.13 (m, 8H), 6.94–6.79 (m, 2H), 5.73–5.56 (m, 1H), 5.14–4.91 (m, 2H), 4.06 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 3.32–3.20 (m, 1H), 3.03 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 2.95–2.85 (m, 1H), 2.81 (dd, J = 11.8, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 2.71 (dd, J = 14.6, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 2.66–2.55 (m, 1H), 2.27–2.15 (m, 2H), 2.12–1.86 (m, 3H), 1.73–1.59 (m, 1H), 1.45–1.15 (m, 2H), 1.21 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR δ 211.7, 141.4, 139.7, 134.8, 128.9, 128.8, 128.3, 128.2, 126.9, 126.7, 116.9, 59.3, 57.6, 56.8, 47.9, 46.7, 45.9, 45.0, 41.3, 36.7, 32.9, 17.9; HRMS (ESI+) calcd for $[\text{C}_{26}\text{H}_{32}\text{NO} + \text{H}]^+$ 374.2478, found 374.2483.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

■ Supporting Information

Optimization experiments and NMR spectrum for all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: andre.charette@umontreal.ca.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), the Canada Research Chairs Program, the Canada Foundation for Innovation, The Centre in Green Chemistry and Catalysis (CGCC), and Université de Montréal.

■ REFERENCES

- (1) Daly, J. W.; Spande, T. F.; Garraffo, H. M. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2005**, *68*, 1556. (b) O'Hagan, D. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2000**, *17*, 435. (c) Bailey, P. D.; Millwood, P. A.; Smith, P. D. *Chem. Commun.* **1998**, *6*, 633. (d) Michael, J. P. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2007**, *24*, 191.
- (2) For examples, see: (a) Watson, P. S.; Jiang, B.; Scott, B. *Org. Lett.* **2000**, *2*, 3679. (b) Vazzana, I.; Budriesi, R.; Terranova, E.; Ioan, P.; Ugenti, M. P.; Tasso, B.; Chiarini, A.; Sparatore, F. *J. Med. Chem.* **2007**, *50*, 334. (c) Satoh, A.; Sagara, T.; Sakoh, H.; Hashimoto, M.; Nakashima, H.; Kato, T.; Goto, Y.; Mizutani, S.; Azuma-Kanoh, T.; Tani, T.; Okuda, S.; Okamoto, O.; Osaki, S.; Iwasawa, Y.; Ohta, H.; Kawamoto, H. *J. Med. Chem.* **2009**, *52*, 4091. (d) Källström, S.; Leino, R. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2008**, *16*, 601.
- (3) For recent reviews, see: (a) Escolano, C.; Amat, M.; Bosch, J. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2006**, *12*, 8198. (b) Cossy, J. *Chem. Rec.* **2005**, *5*, 70. (c) Buffat, M. G. P. *Tetrahedron* **2004**, *60*, 1701. (d) Weintraub, P. M.; Sabol, J. S.; Kane, J. M.; Borcharding, D. R. *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 2953. (e) Laschat, S.; Dickner, T. *Synthesis* **2000**, 1781. (f) Felpin, F.-X.; Lebreton, J. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, 3693.
- (4) (a) Coombs, T. C.; Lushington, G. H.; Douglas, J.; Aube, J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 2734. (b) Fernández-Ibáñez, M. A.; Maciá, B.; Pizzuti, M. G.; Minnaard, A. J.; Feringa, B. L. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 9339. (c) McLaughlin, N. P.; Evans, P. J. *Org. Chem.* **2010**, *75*, 518. (d) Chen, M. Z.; Micalizio, G. C. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 4982. (e) Chen, Y.; Zhong, C.; Petersen, J. L.; Akhmedov, N. G.; Shi, X. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 2333. (f) Ahari, M.; Perez, A.; Menant, C.; Vasse, J.-L.; Szymoniak, J. *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 2473. (g) Hayashi, Y.; Gotoh, H.; Masui, R.; Ishikawa, H. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 4012. (h) Sarkar, N.; Banerjee, A.; Nelson, S. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 9222. (i) Terada, M.; Machioka, K.; Sorimachi, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 10336.
- (5) Sarkar, N.; Banerjee, A.; Nelson, S. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 9222.
- (6) Xiong, X.-F.; Zhang, H.; Peng, J.; Chen, Y.-C. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2011**, *17*, 2358.
- (7) Bates, R. W.; Shuyi Ng, P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2011**, *52*, 2969.
- (8) Schneider, C.; Börner, C.; Schuffenhauer, A. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, 1999, 3353.
- (9) For recent publications, see: (a) Jarvis, S. B. D.; Charette, A. B. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 3830. (b) Lemonnier, G.; Charette, A. B. *J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, *75*, 7465. (c) Lemire, A.; Charette, A. B. *J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, *75*, 2077. (d) Barbe, G.; Pelletier, G.; Charette, A. B. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 3398. (e) Barbe, G.; St-Onge, M.; Charette, A. B. *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 5497.
- (10) For the preparation of enantioenriched and racemic azabicyclo[2.2.2]octene **1**, see ref 9b and also: (a) Barbe, G.; Charette, A. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 13873. (b) Sales, M.; Charette, A. B. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 5773.
- (11) See the Supporting Information for detailed optimization experiments.
- (12) Charette, A. B.; Grenon, M.; Lemire, A.; Pourashraf, M.; Martel, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 11829.
- (13) Xu, F.; Simmons, B.; Reamer, R. A.; Corley, E.; Murry, J.; Tschäen, D. *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, *73*, 312.
- (14) Koradin, C.; Gommermann, N.; Polborn, K.; Knochel, P. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2003**, *9*, 2797.
- (15) See the Supporting Information for details.